

To:

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

SECOND AND SUPPLEMENTARY NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION (TO DESIGNATED OFFICES WHICH APPLY THE 30 MONTH TIME LIMIT UNDER ARTICLE 22(1))

(PCT Rule 47.1(c))

MILES, John Eric Potter Clarkson Park View House 58 The Ropewalk Nottingham NG1 5DD ROYAUME-UNI

RECORDS S	CHEC:
1 4"DE	C 2005
10	
PARTNER	ACTIONED

Applicant's or agent's file reference RVCV/P31262PC

08 December 2005 (08.12.2005)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003386

International filing date (day/month/year) 05 August 2004 (05.08.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year) 05 August 2003 (05.08.2003)

Applicant

THE ROYAL VETERINARY COLLEGE et al

- ATTENTION: For any designated Office(s), for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002 (30 months from the priority date), does not apply, please see Form PCT/IB/308(First Notice) issued previously.
- Notice is hereby given that the following designated Office(s), for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002, does apply, has/have requested that the communication of the international application, as provided for in Article 20, be effected under Rule 93bis.1. The International Bureau has effected that communication on the date indicated below:
 17 February 2005 (17.02.2005)

AU, AZ, BY, CN, CO, DZ, EP, HU, KG, KP, KR, MD, MK, MZ, NA, RU, SY, TM, US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c-bis)(i), those Offices will accept the present notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

3. The following designated Offices, for which the time limit under Article 22(1), as in force from 1 April 2002, does apply, have not requested, as at the time of mailing of the present notice, that the communication of the international application be effected under Rule 93bis.1:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BZ, CA, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EA, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LV, MA, MG, MN, MW, MX, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, SC, SD, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TN, TR, TT, UA, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZW

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c-bis)(ii), those Offices accept the present notice as conclusive evidence that the Contracting State for which that Office acts as a designated Office does not require the furnishing, under Article 22, by the applicant of a copy of the international application.

4. TIME LIMITS for entry into the national phase

For the designated or elected Office(s) listed above, the applicable time limit for entering the national phase will, subject to what is said in the following paragraph, be 30 MONTHS from the priority date.

In practice, time limits other than the 30-month time limit will continue to apply, for various periods of time, in respect of certain of the designated or elected Office(s) listed above. For regular updates on the applicable time limits (30 or 31 months, or other time limit), Office by Office, refer to the PCT Gazette, the PCT Newsletter and the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters, all available from WIPO's Internet site, at http://www.wipo.int/pct/en/index.html.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor all these time limits.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Nora Lindner

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 65

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND ERIC POTTER CLARKSON THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL Attn. Miles, John SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION 7 MAR 2005 Park View House 58 The Ropewalk Nottingham NG1 5DD UNITED KINGDOM (PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 17/03/2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraphs 1 and 4 below FOR FURTHER ACTION RVCV/P31262PC International filing date International application No. (day/month/year) 05/08/2004 PCT/GB2004/003386 Applicant THE ROYAL VETERINARY COLLEGE The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching 1. | <u>y</u> | Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46): The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes Where? Directly to the 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that: the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices. no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made. 4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication. The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices. In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months. See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Müge Aydemir NL-2280 HV Rijswijk

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international politication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Bule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 *Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added.*
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or
 "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:
 "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended:
 claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Bule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be turnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220
RVCV/P31262PC	ACTION	as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	h/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/GB2004/003386	05/08/2004	05/08/2003
Applicant		
1		
THE ROYAL VETERINARY COLLE	EGE	
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Sea ansmitted to the International Burea	rching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant u.
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sh	eets.
	a copy of each prior art document of	
Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unline.	international search was carried out ess otherwise indicated under this i	t on the basis of the international application in the tem.
	search was carried out on the basis	of a translation of the international application furnished to
b. X With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence	e disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).	
4. With regard to the title,		
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	shed by this Authority to read as followed	ows:
		•
		·
5. With regard to the abstract,		
	ubmitted by the applicant.	
the tout has been establis	shed according to Bule 38 2(b) by	this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ational search report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,	totale and could have a larger and a part of	NIO
a. the figure of the drawings to be		: NU
as suggested by		failed to suggest a figure
	is Authority, because the applicant is Authority, because this figure bet	
l limit	ne published with the abstract.	to maradonzeo no mvomom
b. X none of the figures is to t	re published with the abstract.	

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003386

Box	No. I	Nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence(s) (Continuation of item 1.b of the first sheet)
1.	With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, the international search was carried out on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		X a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		X in written format
		X in computer readable form
	C.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form
		X furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purpose of search
	_	
2.	Х	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the
		or turnished, the required statements that the information at the subsequence of turnished application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were turnished.
3.	Addi	tional comments:
ļ		
1		
•		
1		
		·
1		
		· •

International Application No PCT/GB2004/003386

PCT/GB2004/003386 A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61K39/385 C12N C12Q1/68 G01N33/68 C12N15/62 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) A61K C12N G01N C12Q Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, BIOSIS C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category 5 1,2,4, US 2002/187131 A1 (DANIEL HAWIGER ET AL.) X 7-42,4512 December 2002 (2002-12-12) 3,5,6, Υ 43,44, 46-50 page 1, paragraph 8 page 2, paragraph 11 - paragraph 16 page 2, paragraph 19 - page 3, paragraph page 3, paragraph 24 page 5, paragraph 45 - page 6, paragraph page 6, paragraph 52 - paragraph 53 Patent family members are listed in annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. χ Special categories of cited documents : "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. document published prior to the international filing date but *&" document member of the same patent family later than the priority date claimed Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 17/03/2005 7 March 2005 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

2

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Montero Lopez, B

International Application No PCT/GB2004/003386

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	 Relevant to claim No.
ategory °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	neievani io cialin 190.
,	WO 03/040169 A (MEDAREX, INC.) 15 May 2003 (2003-05-15)	1,2,4, 7-42 3,5,6, 43-50
	page 2, line 5 - line 26 page 3, line 19 - line 24 page 5, line 1 - page 6, line 29 page 36, line 35 - page 39, line 37 page 67, line 25 - page 68, line 31	
	WO 01/64752 A (NEW YORK UNIVERSITY)	41-44
:	7 September 2001 (2001-09-07)	3,5,6,
	page 6, line 5 - line 15 page 42, line 19 - page 43, line 22	43,44
	WO 02/20050 A (AKZO NOBEL N.V.)	51
	14 March 2002 (2002-03-14)	45-50
	page 13, line 27 — page 14, line 15 page 16, line 3 — page 17, line 16 ————	
	WO 2004/092195 A (ADMINISTRATORS OF THE TULANE EDUCATIONAL FUND) 28 October 2004 (2004-10-28) page 4, line 19 - page 5, line 2 page 9, line 22 - page 10, line 5 page 10, line 9 - line 18 page 21, line 14 - page 2, line 2; examples	1,2,4, 7-42
	,	
	· .	
	· ·	

2

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003386

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
	Although claims 22-29, 51, and claims 45 and 46, as far as encompassing an in vivo method, are directed to a method of treatment of the animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound/composition.
2	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This Inte	ernational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
1.	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Damari	on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
nemark	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/GB2004/003386

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 2002187131	A1	12-12-2002	US AU AU CA EP JP WO	716056 4970296 2211993	A1 B2 A A1 A1 T	23-12-2004 17-02-2000 21-08-1996 08-08-1996 26-11-1997 22-12-1998 08-08-1996
WO 03040169	Α	15-05-2003	US CA EP WO	2466049	A1 A1 A2 A2	13-02-2003 15-05-2003 25-08-2004 15-05-2003
WO 0164752	Α .	07-09-2001	US AU CA EP JP WO US	1263789 2004536777 0164752	A A1 A2 T	21-05-2002 12-09-2001 07-09-2001 11-12-2002 09-12-2004 07-09-2001 03-04-2003
W0 0220050	Α	14-03-2002	US AU WO US US US	6461616 8879401 0220050 2002155130 2003026814 2003021807	B1 A A2 A1 A1 A1	08-10-2002 22-03-2002 14-03-2002 24-10-2002 06-02-2003 30-01-2003
W0 2004092195	Α	28-10-2004	WO	2004092195	A2	28-10-2004

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	NAL SEAI	RCHING AUTHO	DRITY		PCT			
To: see form PCT/ISA/220								
				WRIT INTERNATIO	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUT	E HORITY		
				(I	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second shee	t)		
Applicant's or see form P				FOR FURTHER . See paragraph 2 belo				
International a			International filing date (co. 05.08.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05.08.2003			
International F A61K39/38	Patent Clas 5, C12N1	sification (IPC) or 15/62, G01N33	both national classification 68, C12Q1/68	and IPC				
Applicant THE ROYA	L VETE	RINARY COLL	EGE					
- 				- items				
1. This c	pinion co	ontains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items.				
⊠ Во	x No. I	Basis of the op	oinion					
□ Во	x No. II	Priority				.1114.		
🖾 Bo	x No. III			ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicab	inty		
□ Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity of	f invention		th. inthe stan or indi	untrial		
⊠ Bo	x No. V	Reasoned state	tement under Rule 43 <i>bi:</i> itations and explanation	s.1(a)(i) with regard to s supporting such sta	novelty, inventive step or indute tement			
⊠ Bo	x No. VI	Certain docum						
	x No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international app	olication				
⊠Вс	x No. VIII		ations on the internatio					
2. FURT	HER ACT	ION						
writter the ap Intern	n opinion o plicant ch ational Bu	of the Internation	ial Preliminary Examinir	ng Authority (IFEA).	Il usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply to e chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority			
subm month		EA a written rep e date of mailing	in together where appro	onnate with amenuill	IPEA, the applicant is invited tents, before the expiration of the of 22 months from the priority	11166		
For fu	rther optic	ons, see Form P	CT/ISA/220.					
3. For fu	rther deta	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.	,				
Name and m	ailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer		sches Palanian,		



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Montero Lopez, B

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3739



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

101566866 International application No. PCT/GB2004/003386

		iap20 Ros'd	FOIRTO	02	FEB	2006
Box No. III Non-es	stablishment of opinion with					
The questions wheth obvious), or to be inc	ner the claimed invention appe dustrially applicable have not b	ars to be novel, to involveen examined in respec	ve an inventive of of:	e step	(to be r	ion
	-ti-uni ammiination					

The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 22-29, 51 and clain	ns 45	and 46 as far as encompassing an in vivo method			
bec	ause:					
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 22-29, 51 and claims 45 and 46 with respect to industrial applicability relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet		•			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report h	as be	een established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003386

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and arry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
	\boxtimes	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	at of material:
	\boxtimes	in written format
	\boxtimes	in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	\boxtimes	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as

appropriate, were furnished.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3, 5, 6, 9, 16, 17, 19, 39-50

No: Claims

1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10-15, 18, 20-38, 51

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-51

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-21, 30-50

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003386

AP20 Res'd ASTATIO 02 FEB 2006

Re Item I Basis of the report

1. Sequence listing pages 1-20 filed with the letter of 26.11.2004 do not form part of the application (Rule 13ter.1(f) PCT).

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1. Claims 22-29, 51 and claims 45 and 46, as far as encompassing an in vivo method, relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).
- 2. The claims relate to the use for vaccination of a compound comprising a moiety which selectively binds to a dendritic cell (HIV gp120, M. tuberculosis lam protein, Ebola virus glycoprotein) and an antigen. The application however, does not contain any technical evidence supporting the suitability of such a compound for vaccination. The examples provided are merely speculative and do not demonstrate that the aimed technical effect is achieved. Example 1 shows that HIV-1 gp120 binds DC-SIGN and states that gp120 is expressed together with model antigens (termed gp120-Ag). However, the result of examples 2-6 is not shown and only include speculative statements of the kind "it is expected" which do not constitute any evidence for the suitability of the claimed molecule for vaccination. Claims 1-51 are therefore not sufficiently supported by the description as requested by Article 5 PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US 2002/187131 A1 (DANIEL HAWIGER ET AL.) 12 December 2002 (2002-12-12)
- D2: WO 01/64752 A (NEW YORK UNIVERSITY) 7 September 2001 (2001-09-07)
- D3: WO 02/20050 A (AKZO NOBEL N.V.) 14 March 2002 (2002-03-14)
- 1. The underlying application relates to the use for vaccination of a compound comprising a moiety which selectively binds to a dendritic cell (HIV gp120, M. tuberculosis lam protein, Ebola virus glycoprotein) and an antigen.
- 2. Document D1 discloses a compound for delivery of an antigen to dendritic cells comprising a molecule binding to a dendritic cell receptor, such as DEC-205 and antigen (page 1, par. 8). Vaccination with various antigens is disclosed (page 2, par. 13-16). The endocytic receptor binding molecule is preferably an antibody which may be present with the antigen in a single polypeptide chain encoded by a polynucleotide molecule (page 2, par. 19 page 3, par. 20). The compound can be used for vaccination of livestock animals (page 5, par. 46 and the examples). Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10-15, 18, and 20-38 are therefore not novel and do not comply with the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 3. Dependent claims 9, 16, 17, 19 and 39-42, referring to trivial features such as the use of two antigens and an adjuvant or the recombinant production of the compound using a vector and a host cell, do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step. Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 9, 16, 17, 19 and 39-42 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4. Claims 3, 5, 6, 43 and 44 specify the dendritic cell receptor as DC-SIGN and the binding moiety as HIV gp120, M. tuberculosis LAM protein or Ebola virus glycoprotein. Document D1 suggests in page 3, par. 22 that other receptors than DEC-205 can be used as targets for antigen delivery. In the light of the prior art the problem to be solved consists in providing an alternative receptor target for delivery of antigens to dendritic cells. Document D2 discloses that DC-SIGN is a receptor in dendritic cells for HIV gp120. It would be therefore obvious for the skilled person that the gp120 protein can be used as an equivalent to DEC-205 antibodies for targeting dendritic cells in a construct according to D1. Claims 3, 5, 6, 43 and 44 therefore do not involve an inventive step and do not comply

with the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 5. Claims 45-50 relate to a method and kit for determining wether an animal has been vaccinated with the compound of the invention by determining if it has an immune response to either the dendritic cell binding moiety or the antigen. This in itself constitutes a standard evident method for determining wether an animal has been administered a particular compound. Additionally, methods are available in the art to distinguish vaccinated animals from naturally infected animals, such as described in D3 (page 16, line 3 page 17, line 16). Claims 45-50 are therefore not inventive and do not comply with the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 6. Claim 51 is broadly formulated as a method for vaccinating an animal which can be distinguished from a naturally infected animal. The expression "as described herein" is vague and unclear and does not convey any technical features to the claimed subject-matter. Therefore, in the light of D3 claim 51 is not novel and does not comply with the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 7. For the assessment of the present claims 1-14, 20, and 30-37 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item VI Certain documents cited

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No Publication date (day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

US2004/010832

28/10/2004

8/4/2004

9/4/2003

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 2. The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 26, lines 20-25 implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. Claims 1 and 42 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The functional statement "selectively binds to a dendritic cell" does not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated function.
- 2. Claim 1, directed to a compound for vaccination, contains a feature relating to the subject to be vaccinated (which moiety does not naturally occur in the animal). This renders the scope of the claim unclear, as a particular compound may or not be included in the scope of the claim depending on to which animal is administered (Article 6 PCT).
- 3. The relative term "naturally" in claim 1 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear, Article 6 PCT.
- 4. The expression "pattern recognition receptor" used in claims 2 and 42 has no well-recognised meaning and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 84 EPC). A receptor is known in the art as recognizing one or several molecules. It is unclear what is meant under the word "pattern".

- 5. The terms "parts" in claims 5, 6, and 8-10, "variant" used in claims 8-10, and "portion" in claim 48 have no well-recognised meaning and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 84 EPC). It is unclear what sort of variant (functional, structural) is meant and what the size and features of the "part" or "portion" should be.
- 6. The expression "molecule associated with a disease" used in claims 8 and 9 has no well-recognised meaning and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.
- 7. The expression "molecule associated with a disease" used in claims 8 and 9 has no well-recognised meaning and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.
- 8. The definition "OIE list A disease" used in claims 12, 26 and 34 has no well-recognised meaning and leaves the reader in doubt as to the identity of the diseases included in the scope of the claims, Article 6 PCT.
- 9. It is clear from the description that the feature of the combination of dendritic cell binding moiety and antigen is essential to the definition of the invention. Since independent claim 41 does not contain a feature directed to the antigen but only an insertion point for eventually inserting the antigen, it does not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.
- 10. Claims 48 and 49 are defined in terms of functional features ("which binds to an antibody raised against said moiety or antigen", "means for detecting an immune response") which do not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated function.
- 11. The relative terms "novel" and "described herein" used in claim 51 have no well-

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003386

recognised meaning and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear, Article 6 PCT.